



On the Quantity $m^2 - p^k$ Where p^k
 m^2 is an Odd Perfect Number

Jose Arnaldo Dris and Immanuel San Diego

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

July 29, 2020

On the quantity $m^2 - p^k$ where $p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number

Jose Arnaldo Bebita Dris¹ and Immanuel Tobias San Diego²

¹ M. Sc. Graduate, Mathematics Department
De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines 1004
e-mail: josearnaldobdris@gmail.com

² Department of Mathematics and Physical Sciences
Trinity University of Asia, Quezon City, Philippines 1102
e-mail: itsandiego@tua.edu.ph

Received: 22 February 2020 **Revised:** DD Month YYYY **Accepted:** DD Month YYYY

Abstract: We prove that $m^2 - p^k$ is not a square, if $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number with special prime p , under the hypothesis that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square. We are also able to prove the same assertion without this hypothesis. We also show that this hypothesis is incompatible with the set of assumptions

$$\left(m^2 - p^k \text{ is a power of two} \right) \wedge \left(p \text{ is a Fermat prime} \right).$$

We end by stating some conjectures.

Keywords: Sum of divisors, Sum of aliquot divisors, Deficiency, Odd perfect number, Special prime.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A05, 11A25.

1 Introduction

Let $\sigma(x)$ denote the sum of the divisors of $x \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers. Denote the deficiency [13] of x by $D(x) = 2x - \sigma(x)$, and the sum of the aliquot divisors [14] of x by $s(x) = \sigma(x) - x$. Note that we have the identity $D(x) + s(x) = x$.

If a positive integer n is odd and $\sigma(n) = 2n$, then n is said to be an odd perfect number [17]. Euler proved that an odd perfect number, if one exists, must have the form $n = p^k m^2$, where p is the special prime satisfying $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\gcd(p, m) = 1$.

Descartes, Frenicle, and subsequently Sorli conjectured that $k = 1$ always holds [1]. Sorli conjectured $k = 1$ after testing large numbers with eight distinct prime factors for perfection [15]. Dris [7], and Dris and Tejada [12], call this conjecture as the Descartes-Frenicle-Sorli Conjecture, and derive conditions equivalent to $k = 1$.

Since m is odd, then $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Likewise, $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, which implies that $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. It follows that $m^2 - p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Since

$$p^k < \frac{2m^2}{3}$$

(by a result of Dris [8]), we know a priori that

$$m^2 - p^k > \frac{p^k}{2}$$

so that we are sure that $m^2 - p^k > 0$. In particular, since $m^2 - p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we infer that $m^2 - p^k \geq 4$.

The index $i(p)$ of the odd perfect number $n = p^k m^2$ at the prime p is then equal to

$$i(p) := \frac{\sigma(m^2)}{p^k} = \frac{m^2}{\sigma(p^k)/2} = \frac{D(m^2)}{s(p^k)} = \frac{s(m^2)}{D(p^k)/2} = \gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2)).$$

The term *index of an odd perfect number (at a certain prime)* was coined by Chen and Chen [4].

In this paper, we will refer continually to the following result by Broughan, et al. [(Lemma 8, page 7), [2]], which we will state without proof.

Lemma 1.1. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number and $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, then $k = 1$ holds.*

2 Summary

We now present a summary of our results in this section.

The first proposition allows us to rule out $m^2 - p^k = s^2$ (where $s \geq 2$), under the assumption that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square.

Theorem 2.1. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number and $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, then $m^2 - p^k$ is not a square.*

In the second proposition, we remove the requirement that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square and prove unconditionally that $m^2 - p^k$ is not a square, with some help from MSE user FredH (<https://math.stackexchange.com/users/82711>).

Theorem 2.2. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number, then $m^2 - p^k$ is not a square.*

Finally, in the third proposition, we use the hypothesis that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square to prove that $m^2 - p^k$ is not a power of two when p is a Fermat prime.

Theorem 2.3. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number and $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, then either $m^2 - p^k \neq 2^{2t+1}$ for integers $t \geq 1$ or p is not a Fermat prime.*

3 A Proof of Theorem 2.1

Suppose that $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number with special prime p , and that $m^2 - p^k = s^2$, for some $s \geq 2$.

Then

$$m^2 - s^2 = p^k = (m + s)(m - s)$$

so that we obtain

$$\begin{cases} p^{k-v} = m + s \\ p^v = m - s \end{cases}$$

where v is a positive integer satisfying $0 \leq v \leq (k-1)/2$. It follows that we have the system

$$\begin{cases} p^{k-v} + p^v = p^v(p^{k-2v} + 1) = 2m \\ p^{k-v} - p^v = p^v(p^{k-2v} - 1) = 2s \end{cases}$$

Since p is a prime satisfying $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, from the first equation it follows that $v = 0$, so that we obtain

$$\begin{cases} p^k + 1 = 2m \\ p^k - 1 = 2s \end{cases}$$

which yields

$$m = \frac{p^k + 1}{2} < p^k.$$

Lastly, note that the inequality $p < m$ has been proved by Brown [3], Dris [6], and Starni [16], so that we are faced with the inequality

$$p < m < p^k.$$

This implies that $k > 1$.

However, by assumption we have that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square. This implies by Lemma 1.1 that $k = 1$, a clear contradiction.

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.1. *The following shorter proof for Theorem 2.1 was communicated by a referee.*

First, since $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square by assumption, then $k = 1$ by Lemma 1.1.

Then $m^2 - p^k = m^2 - p$, and it is straightforward to show that $m^2 - p = s^2$ for $s \geq 2$ is impossible: This would imply $m = (p+1)/2$, which contradicts $p < m$.

4 A Proof of Theorem 2.2

The following proof is lifted verbatim from [10]:

Here's a way to finish the proof without appealing to any conjecture.

If $n = p^k m^2$ is a perfect number with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, we have

$$\sigma(p^k)\sigma(m^2) = 2p^k m^2.$$

We know that $\sigma(p^k) = (p^{k+1} - 1)/(p - 1)$ and we have shown in Theorem 2.1 that $m = (p^k + 1)/2$, so we can conclude that

$$2(p^{k+1} - 1)\sigma(m^2) = (p - 1)p^k(p^k + 1)^2. \quad (*)$$

Consider the GCD of $p^{k+1} - 1$ with the right-hand side:

$$\gcd\left(p^{k+1} - 1, (p - 1)p^k(p^k + 1)^2\right) \leq (p - 1)\gcd(p^{k+1} - 1, p^k + 1)^2,$$

since p^k is coprime to $p^{k+1} - 1$.

Noticing that $p^{k+1} - 1 = p(p^k + 1) - (p + 1)$, we find $\gcd(p^{k+1} - 1, p^k + 1) = \gcd(p + 1, p^k + 1)$, which is $p + 1$ because k is odd.

Thus

$$\gcd(p^{k+1} - 1, (p - 1)p^k(p^k + 1)^2) \leq (p - 1)(p + 1)^2.$$

Since $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and we have shown in Theorem 2.1 that $k > 1$, we have $k \geq 5$. If (*) holds, the left-hand side of the inequality must be $p^{k+1} - 1$, which is then greater than p^5 . But the right-hand side is less than p^4 , so this is impossible.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

5 A Proof of Theorem 2.3

Suppose that $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number with special prime p , and that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square. We show that the assumption

$$\left(m^2 - p^k = 2^{2t+1}, t \geq 1\right) \wedge \left(p \text{ is a Fermat prime}\right)$$

shall contradict Lemma 1.1.

(The following proof is adapted from the proof of Theorem 5 in [11].)

Assume to the contrary that

$$m^2 - p^k = 2^{2t+1}$$

for some integer $t \geq 1$, and that p is a Fermat prime. This means that

$$p = 2^r + 1$$

for some integer $r \geq 2$. Since p is a Fermat prime, we have $r = 2^l$, for some integer $l \geq 1$. In other words,

$$p = 2^{2^l} + 1$$

is a Fermat prime.

Now, note that it is trivial to prove that

$$3 \mid 2^{2^l - 1} + 1 = \frac{p + 1}{2}.$$

By assumption, $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, which implies that $k = 1$. It follows that

$$m^2 - p = m^2 - (2^{2^l} + 1) = 2^{2^{l+1}}$$

from which we get

$$m^2 - 2^{2^l} = 2^{2^{l+1}} + 1,$$

which implies that

$$3 \mid (m^2 - 2^{2^l}).$$

This means that $3 \nmid m^2$, since $l \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid 2^{2^l}$.

But we know that $3 \mid (p + 1)/2 \mid m^2$. This contradicts $3 \nmid m^2$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Remark 5.1. *The divisibility constraint $(p + 1)/2 \mid m^2$ is true in general since*

$$(p + 1) = \sigma(p) \mid \sigma(p^k) \mid 2m^2$$

follows from $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\gcd(p^k, \sigma(p^k)) = 1$, and the equation

$$\sigma(p^k)\sigma(m^2) = \sigma(p^k m^2) = \sigma(n) = 2n = 2p^k m^2.$$

6 Concluding Remarks and Future Research

Actually, more stringent conditions on $m^2 - p^k$ can be derived when $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square. Since $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is always odd, and by assumption it is a square, then since $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ holds, we know that $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ also holds. This last congruence is known to hold if and only if $p \equiv k \pmod{8}$. ([9], [5]) Since by assumption $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, we obtain $k = 1$ by Lemma 1.1. In particular, we know that $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. But we also know that m is odd. Therefore, we infer that $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. It follows that $m^2 - p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

What follows is an elementary attempt to rule out $m^2 - p^k = 8$:

Lemma 6.1. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number with special prime p and $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, then $m^2 - p^k \neq 8$.*

Proof. Let $n = p^k m^2$ be an odd perfect number with special prime p . Suppose that $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square.

Assume to the contrary that $m^2 - p^k = 8$. Subtract 9 from both sides, then transfer p^k to the right-hand side:

$$m^2 - 9 = p^k - 1$$

$$(m + 3)(m - 3) = p^k - 1$$

This last equation implies that, in general, we have the divisibility constraint

$$(m + 3) \mid (p^k - 1).$$

This divisibility constraint then implies that

$$(m + 3) \leq (p^k - 1)$$

from which we obtain

$$m < m + 4 \leq p^k.$$

Lastly, note that the inequality $p < m$ has been proved by Brown [3], Dris [6], and Starni [16], so that we are faced with the inequality

$$p < m < p^k.$$

But this contradicts Lemma 1.1, so we are done.

This ends the proof of Lemma 6.1. □

We end this section with the following conjectures, which we leave for other researchers to investigate.

Conjecture 6.2. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number and $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$ is a square, then $m^2 - p^k$ is not a cube.*

Conjecture 6.3. *If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number, then $m^2 - p^k$ is not a cube.*

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee(s) whose valuable feedback helped in improving the quality of this manuscript. The first author is also grateful to the anonymous Math@StackExchange user FredH (<https://math.stackexchange.com/users/82711>) for answering one of his inquiries in this Q&A site.

References

- [1] Beasley, B. D., (2013) Euler and the ongoing search for odd perfect numbers, *Proc. of the ACMS 19-th Biennial Conference*, 29 May–1 June, 2013, Bethel University, St. Paul, MN, pp. 21–31. Available online: <http://godandmath.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/acms-2013-proceedings.pdf>.
- [2] Broughan, K. A., Delbourgo, D., & Zhou, Q. (2013) Improving the Chen and Chen result for odd perfect numbers, *Integers*, 13, Article #A39.
- [3] Brown, P. A. (2016) A partial proof of a conjecture of Dris. Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.01591>.
- [4] Chen, F-J., & Chen, Y-G. (2014) On the index of an odd perfect number, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 136, 41–49.

- [5] Chen, S-C., & Luo, H. (2013) Odd multiperfect numbers, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 88 (1), 56–63.
- [6] Dris, J. A. B. (2017) On a curious biconditional involving the divisors of odd perfect numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 23 (4), 1–13.
- [7] Dris, J. A. B. (2017) Conditions equivalent to the Descartes-Frenicle-Sorli Conjecture on odd perfect numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 23 (2), 12–20.
- [8] Dris, J. A. B. (2012) The abundancy index of divisors of odd perfect numbers, *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 15, Issue 4, Article 12.4.4.
- [9] Dris, J. A. B., & San Diego, I. T. (2020) Some modular considerations regarding odd perfect numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 26 (2), 27–33.
- [10] Dris, J. A. B., & MathStackExchange user FredH (2019) If $n = p^k m^2$ is an odd perfect number with special prime p , then $m^2 - p^k$ is not a square. <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/3121498>
- [11] Dris, J. A. B., & Tejada, D-J. U. (2018) Revisiting some old results on odd perfect numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 24 (4), 18–25.
- [12] Dris, J. A. B., & Tejada, D-J. U. (2018) Conditions equivalent to the Descartes-Frenicle-Sorli Conjecture on odd perfect numbers - Part II, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 24 (3), 62–67.
- [13] Sloane, N. J. A., OEIS sequence A033879 - Deficiency of n , or $2n - \sigma(n)$, <https://oeis.org/A033879>.
- [14] Sloane, N. J. A., & Guy, R. K., OEIS sequence A001065 - Sum of proper divisors (or aliquot parts) of n : sum of divisors of n that are less than n , <https://oeis.org/A001065>.
- [15] Sorli, R. M. (2003), Algorithms in the Study of Multiperfect and Odd Perfect Numbers, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Technology, Sydney, <http://hdl.handle.net/10453/20034>.
- [16] Starni, P. (2018) On Dris conjecture about odd perfect numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 24 (1), 5–9.
- [17] Wikipedia contributors. (2020, July 15). Perfect number. In *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Perfect_number&oldid=967867153.